

1 JOHN ALLCOCK (Bar No. 098895)
john.allcock@dlapiper.com
2 RICK MULLOY (Bar No. 199278)
richard.mulloy@dlapiper.com
3 JOHN D. KINTON (Bar No. 203250)
john.kinton@dlapiper.com
4 JESSE HINDMAN (Bar No. 222935)
jesse.hindman@dlapiper.com
5 DLA PIPER LLP (US)
401 B Street, Suite 1700
6 San Diego, CA 92101-4297
Tel: 619.699.2700
7 Fax: 619.699.2701

8
9 Attorneys for Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant,
CareFusion 303, Inc.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
12 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 CareFusion 303, Inc.,

14 Plaintiff-Counterclaim
15 Defendant,

16 v.

17 Sigma International,

18 Defendant-Counterclaimant.

Case No. 10-CV-0442 DMS (WMc)

**CAREFUSION'S PROPOSED VERDICT
FORM**

1 Plaintiff CareFusion 303, Inc. ("CareFusion") hereby submits the following proposed verdict
2 form. CareFusion reserves the right to submit revisions to its proposed verdict form after receiving
3 the Court's rulings on the parties' respective motions *in limine*, and as necessary to conform to the
4 evidence presented at trial and the rulings of the Court.

5 Dated: January 23, 2012

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

7 By /s/ Jesse Hindman

8 John Allcock
9 Rick Mulloy
10 John D. Kinton
11 Jesse Hindman
12 DLA Piper LLP (US)
13 401 B Street, Suite 1700
14 San Diego, CA 92101-4297
15 Tel: 619.699.2700
16 Fax: 619.699.2701

17 Attorneys for Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant
18 CareFusion 303, Inc.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

INFRINGEMENT

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

Question No. 1:

Do you find that CareFusion has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Sigma literally infringes any of the following claims of CareFusion’s U.S. Patent No. 6,347,553?

Answer “Yes” or “No”.

	Yes (For CareFusion)	No (For Sigma)
Claim 1		
Claim 22		
Claim 23		

INFRINGEMENT – DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS

Question No. 2:

Do you find that CareFusion has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Sigma infringes under the doctrine of equivalents any of the following claims of CareFusion’s U.S. Patent No. 6,347,553?

Answer “Yes” or “No”.

	Yes (For CareFusion)	No (For Sigma)
Claim 1		
Claim 22		
Claim 23		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

INFRINGEMENT - INDUCEMENT

Question No. 3:
Do you find that CareFusion has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Sigma induces its customers to infringe any of the following claims of CareFusion’s U.S. Patent No. 6,347,553?
Answer “Yes” or “No”.

	Yes (For CareFusion)	No (For Sigma)
Claim 1		
Claim 22		
Claim 23		

MONETARY DAMAGES FOR INFRINGEMENT

Question No. 4:

If you have found one or more claims of CareFusion's U.S. Patent No. 6,347,553 to be infringed by Sigma, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, directly or through inducement, please identify the amount of monetary damages that will compensate CareFusion for Sigma's infringement.

\$ _____